## Vol.11.Issue.3.2023 (July-Sept.) ©KY PUBLICATIONS



http://www.bomsr.com Email:editorbomsr@gmail.com

**RESEARCH ARTICLE** 

# BULLETIN OF MATHEMATICS AND STATISTICS RESEARCH

A Peer Reviewed International Research Journal



# Contact CR-Warped Product Submanifolds of Nearly Lorentzian Para-Sasakian Manifolds with Quarter Symmetric Semi Metric Connection

## Mohd Sadiq Khan<sup>1</sup>, Aboo Horaira<sup>2\*</sup>, Shamsur Rahman<sup>3</sup>

<sup>1, 2\*</sup>Department of mathematics, Shibli National College, Azamgarh (U.P.) 276001, India
 <sup>1</sup>Email: msadiqkhan.snc@gmail.com
 <sup>3</sup>Department of mathematics
 Maulana Azad National University,
 Polytechnic Satellite Campus Darbhanga, Bihar 846001, India
 \*Corresponding author: aboohoraira@gmail.com
 DOI:10.33329/bomsr.11.3.27



#### ABSTRACT

In this paper, we have investigated CR-warped product Submanifolds of nearly Lorentzian para-Sasakian manifolds with quarter symmetric semi metric connection, generalizing sharp inequality namely,  $||h||^2 \ge \frac{8}{9}s + 2s||\nabla \ln f||^2$ . It is also proved that the derive inequalities for contact CR-warped products Submanifolds of nearly Lorentzian para-Sasakian manifolds with quarter symmetric semi metric connection either in any contact metric manifold. The equality case is also handled.

**Keywords:** Contact CR-submanifolds, warped product, nearly Lorentzian para-Sasakian manifold, Quarter symmetric semi metric connection.

### 1. INTRODUCTION

Bishop and O'Neill defined and studied concept of warped product manifolds [5]. These manifolds generalize the Riemannian product manifolds and appear in differential geometric studies in natural way [7, 10]. Later on, CR-warped product in Kaehler manifolds were studied by Chen, B.Y and showed many interesting results on the existence of warped product and proved general sharp inequalities for the second fundamental form in terms of the warping function f[8]. Arslan, K. and et

al. [4], Bonanzinga, V., Matsumoto, K. [6] and Mihai, I. [13] studied for the same inequalities in almost Hermitian as well as almost contact metric manifolds. Lorentzian para-Sasakian manifold was studied by Matsumoto, K [12]. Then Mihai, I et al. [14] introduced the same notion independently and they obtained several results on this manifolds. Lorentzian para-Sasakian manifolds have also been studied by Matsumoto, K et al. [7], De, U.C et al., [9], and others. Lorentzian para-Sasakian manifolds with different connection was studied by several authors in ( [1, 2, 15–17]). In [19], the author and et al., studied semi-invariant submanifolds of a nearly Lorentzian para-Sasakian manifold. Contact CRwarped product submanifolds of nearly Lorentzian para-Sasakian manifold were studied by Rahman, S [17].

In this paper, we extend the conservativeness of warped product contact CR-submanifolds of nearly Lorentzian para-Sasakian manifolds with quarter symmetric semi metric connection. After preliminaries in section 2, we study in section 3 and prove some existence and nonexistence results and then obtain a general sharp inequality for the second fundamental form in terms of the warping function f and Sasakian and cosymplectic on nearly Lorentzian para-Sasakian manifolds with quarter symmetric semi metric connection. The inequality obtained in the section 4 is more general as it generalizes all inequalities obtained for contact CR-warped products in contact metric manifolds.

#### 2. PRELINIMINARIES

Let  $\overline{M}$  be an n dimensional almost contact metric manifold with almost contact metric structure  $(\phi, \xi, \eta, g)$  such that

$$\phi^2 = I + \eta(X)\xi, \quad \eta(\xi) = -1, \, g(X,\xi) = \eta(X) \tag{2.1}$$

$$g(\phi X, \phi Y) = g(X, Y) + \eta(X)\eta(Y), g(\phi X, Y) = g(X, \phi Y) = \psi(X, Y)$$
(2.2)

For vector fields X, Y tangent to M. Then the structure  $(\phi, \xi, \eta, g)$  zienpara-contact structure. Also in a Lorentzian para-contact structure the following relations hold:

$$\phi \xi = 0$$
,  $\eta(\phi X) = 0$  and  $rank(\phi) = n - 1$ 

A Lorentzian para-contact manifold  $\overline{M}$  is called Lorentzian para-Sasakian (LP-Sasakian) manifold if

$$(\overline{\nabla}_X \phi)Y = g(X, Y)\xi + \eta(Y)X + 2\eta(X)\eta(Y)\xi$$

$$\overline{\nabla}_X \xi = \phi X$$
(2.3)

For all vector fields 
$$X, Y$$
 tangent to  $\overline{M}$  where  $\overline{\nabla}$  is the Riemannian connection with respect to  $g$ .  
Further, an almost contact metric manifold  $\overline{M}$  on  $(\phi, \xi, \eta, g)$  is called nearly Lorentzian para-Sasakian

$$(\overline{\nabla}_X \phi)Y + \overline{\nabla}_Y \phi)X = 2g(X, Y)\xi + 4\eta(X)\eta(Y) + \eta(X)Y + \eta(Y)X$$
(2.5)

The covariant derivative of the tensor field  $\phi$  is defined as

$$(\overline{\nu}_X \phi)Y = \overline{\nu}_X \phi Y - \phi \overline{\nu}_X Y \tag{2.6}$$

On other hand, a quarter symmetric semi metric connection  $\overline{\nabla}$  on M is defined by

$$\overline{\nabla}_X Y = \overline{\nabla}_X^* Y - \eta(X)\phi Y + g(\phi X, Y)\xi$$
(2.7)

Substituting (2.1), (2.2) and (2.4) in (2.6) and (2.7) respectively, we get

$$(\overline{\nabla}_X \phi)Y = 2g(X, Y)\xi + 3\eta(Y)\eta(Y)\xi + \eta(Y)X$$
(2.8)

$$\overline{\nabla}_X \xi = \phi X \tag{2.9}$$

In particular, an almost contact metric manifold  $\overline{M}$  on  $(\phi, \xi, \eta, g)$  is called nearly Lorentzian para-Sasakian manifold  $\overline{M}$  with a quarter symmetric semi metric connection if

$$(\overline{\nabla}_X \phi)Y + (\overline{\nabla}_Y \phi)X = 4g(X, Y)\xi + 6\eta(Y)\eta(Y)\xi + \eta(Y)X + \eta(X)Y$$
(2.10)

Now, let M be a submanifold immersed in  $\overline{M}$ . The Riemannian metric induced on M is denoted by the same symbol g. Let TM and  $T^{\perp}M$  be the Lie algebras of vector fields tangential to M and normal to M respectively and  $\nabla$  be the induced Levi-Civita connection on M, then the Gauss and Weingarten formulae are given by

$$\overline{\nabla}_X Y = \nabla_X Y + h(X, Y) \tag{2.11}$$

$$\overline{\nabla}_X N = -A_N X + \nabla_X^{\perp} N - \eta(X) \phi N \tag{2.12}$$

For any  $X, Y \in TM$  and  $N \in T^{\perp}M$  where  $\nabla^{\perp}$  is the connection on the normal bundle  $T^{\perp}M$ , h is the second fundamental form and  $A_N$  is the Weingarten map associated with N as

$$g(A_N X, Y) = g(h(X, Y), N)$$

Let  $(N_1, g_1)$  and  $(N_2, g_2)$  be two Riemannian manifolds and f be a positive differentiable function on  $N_1$ . The warped product of  $N_1$  and  $N_2$  is the Riemannian manifold

$$N_1 \times_f N_2 = (N_1 \times N_2, g)$$
 [5], where

$$g = g_1 + f^2 g_2$$

A warped product manifold  $N_1 \times_f N_2$  is said to be trivial if the warping function f is constant.

We recall the following general result for later use.

**Lemma 2.1**([5]). Let  $M = N_1 \times_f N_2$  be a warped product manifold with the warping function, then

(i)  $\nabla_X Y \in \Gamma(TN_1)$  is the lift of  $\nabla_X Y$  on  $N_1$ ,

(ii) 
$$\nabla_X Z = \nabla_Z X = (X \ln f) Z$$

(iii) 
$$\nabla_Z \omega = \nabla_Z^{N_2} \omega - g(Z, \omega) \nabla \ln f$$

for each  $X, Y \in \Gamma(TN_1)$  and  $Z, \omega \in \Gamma(TN_2)$ , where  $\nabla \ln f$  is the gradient of  $\ln f$  and  $\nabla$  and  $\nabla^{N_2}$  denote the Levi Civita connections on M and  $N_2$ , respectively.

For a Riemannian manifold M of dimension n and a smooth function f on M, we recall  $\nabla f$ , the gradient of f which is defined by

$$g(\nabla f, X) = X(f) \tag{2.13}$$

for any  $X \in \Gamma(TM)$ . As a consequence, we have

$$\|\nabla f\|^2 = \sum_{i=1}^n (e_i(f))^2$$
(2.14)

for an orthonormal frame  $\{e_1, \ldots, e_n\}$  on M.

#### 3. CONTACT CR-WARPED PRODUCT SUBMANIFOLDS

In this section, first we recall the invariant, anti-invariant and contact CR-submanifolds. For submanifolds tangent to the structure vector field  $\xi$ , there are different classes of submanifolds. We mention the following:

(i) A submanifold M tangent to  $\xi$  is an invariant submanifold if  $\phi$  preserves any tangent space of M, that is  $\phi(T_pM) \subset T_pM$ , for every  $p \in M$ .

(ii) A submanifold M tangent to  $\xi$  is an anti-invariant submanifold if  $\phi$  maps any tangent space of M into the normal space, that is,  $\phi(T_pM) \subset T_p^{\perp}M$ . for every  $p \in M$ .

Let M be a Riemannian manifold isometrically immersed in an almost contact metric manifold  $\overline{M}$ , then for every  $p \in M$  there exists a maximal invariant subspace denoted by  $D_p$  of the tangent space  $T_pM$ of M if the dimension of  $D_p$  is same for all values of  $p \in M$ , then  $D_p$  gives an invariant distribution Don M.

A submanifold M of an almost contact manifold  $\overline{M}$  is said to be a contact CR submanifold if there exists on M a differentiable distribution D whose orthogonal complementary distribution  $D^{\perp}$  is anti-invariant, that is;

- (i)  $TM = D \oplus D^{\perp} \oplus \langle \xi \rangle$
- (ii) *D* is an invariant distribution, i.e.,  $\phi D \subseteq TM$ ,
- (iii)  $D^{\perp}$  is an anti-invariant distribution i.e.,  $\phi D^{\perp} \subseteq T^{\perp}M$ .

A contact CR-submanifold is an anti-invariant if  $D_p = \{0\}$  and invariant if  $D_p^{\perp} = \{0\}$  respectively, for every  $p \in M$ . It is a proper contact CR-submanifold if neither  $D_p = \{0\}$  nor  $D_p^{\perp} = \{0\}$ , for every  $p \in M$ .

If v is the  $\phi$ -invariant subspace of the normal bundle  $T^{\perp}M$ , then in case of contact CR- submanifold, the normal bundle  $T^{\perp}M$  can be decomposed as

$$T^{\perp}M = \phi D^{\perp} \oplus v$$

where v is the  $\phi$ -invariant normal sub bundle of  $T^{\perp}M$ .

In this section, we investigate the warped products  $M = N_{\perp} \times_f N_T$  and  $M = N_T \times_f N_{\perp}$  where  $N_T$  and  $N_{\perp}$  are invariant and anti-invariant Submanifolds of a nearly Lorentzian para-Sasakian manifold  $\overline{M}$ , respectively. First we discuss the warped products  $M = N_{\perp} \times_f N_T$ , here two possible cases arises:

- (i)  $\xi$  is tangent to  $N_T$ ,
- (ii)  $\xi$  is tangent to  $N_{\perp}$ ,

We start with the case (i).

**Theorem 3.1.** Let  $\overline{M}$  be a nearly Lorentzian para-Sasakian manifold with a quarter symmetric semi metric connection. Then there do not exist warped product submanifold  $M = N_{\perp} \times_f N_T$  such that  $N_T$  is an invariant submanifold tangent to  $\xi$  and  $N_{\perp}$  is an anti-invariant submanifold, unless  $\overline{M}$  is nearly Sasakian.

**Proof:** Consider  $\xi \in \Gamma(TN_T)$  and  $Z \in \Gamma(TN_{\perp})$ , then by the structure equation of nearly Lorentzian para-Sasakian manifold, we have

$$(\overline{\nabla}_{Z}\phi)\xi + (\overline{\nabla}_{\xi}\phi)Z = -Z.$$

Using (2.6), we obtain

$$-\phi\overline{\nabla}_{Z}\xi+\overline{\nabla}_{\xi}\phi Z-\phi\overline{\nabla}_{\xi}Z=-Z.$$

Then from Lemma2.1 (ii) and (2.11), we derive

$$\overline{\nabla}_{\xi}\phi Z - 2\phi h(Z,\xi) = -Z \tag{3.1}$$

Taking the inner product with  $\phi Z$  in (3.1) and then using (2.2) and the fact that  $\in \Gamma(TN_T)$ , we get  $||Z||^2 = 0$  and hence we conclude that M is invariant, which proves the theorem.

Now, we will discuss the other case, when  $\xi$  is tangent to  $N_{\perp}$ .

**Theorem 3.2.** Let  $\overline{M}$  be a nearly Lorentzian para-Sasakian manifold with a quarter symmetric semi metric connection. Then there do not exist warped product submanifolds  $M = N_{\perp} \times_f N_T$  such that  $N_{\perp}$  is an anti-invariant submanifold tangent to  $\xi$  and  $N_T$  is an invariant submanifold of  $\overline{M}$ , unless  $\overline{M}$  is nearly cosympletic.

**Proof:** Consider  $\xi \in \Gamma(TN_T)$  and  $X \in \Gamma(TN_{\perp})$ , then we have

$$(\overline{\nabla}_{X}\phi)\xi + (\overline{\nabla}_{\xi}\phi)X = -X. \text{ Using (2.6), we get}$$
$$-\phi\overline{\nabla}_{X}\xi + \overline{\nabla}_{\xi}\phi X - \phi\overline{\nabla}_{\xi}X = -X \tag{3.2}$$

Taking the inner product with X in (3.2) and using (2.2), (2.11), Lemma 2.1 (ii) and the fact that  $\xi$  is tangent to  $N_{\perp}$ , we obtain  $||X||^2 = 0$ . Thus, we conclude that M is anti-invariant submanifold of a nearly Lorentzian para-Sasakian manifold  $\overline{M}$  otherwise  $\overline{M}$  is nearly cosympletic. This completes the proof.

Now, we will discuss the warped product  $M = N_T \times_f N_\perp$  such that the structure vector field  $\xi$  is tangent to  $N_\perp$ .

**Theorem 3.3.** Let  $\overline{M}$  be a nearly Lorentzian para-Sasakian manifold with a quarter symmetric semi metric connection. Then there do not exist warped product submanifolds  $M = N_T \times_f N_\perp$  such that  $N_\perp$  is an anti-invariant submanifold tangent to  $\xi$  and  $N_T$  is an invariant submanifold of  $\overline{M}$ .

**Proof:** If we consider  $\xi \in \Gamma(TN_T)$  and  $X \in \Gamma(TN_\perp)$ , then we have

$$(\overline{\nabla}_X \phi)\xi + (\overline{\nabla}_\xi \phi)X = -X.$$

Using (2.6), we obtain

$$-\phi\overline{\nabla}_X\xi + \overline{\nabla}_\xi\phi X - \phi\overline{\nabla}_\xi X = -X.$$

Then by (2.11) and Lemma 2.1 (ii), we derive

$$(\phi X \ln f)\xi - 2\phi h(X,\xi) + h(\phi X,\xi) = -X$$
(3.3)

Hence, the result is obtained by taking the inner product with  $\xi$  in (3.3). If we consider the structure vector field  $\xi$  tangent to  $N_T$  for the warped product  $M = N_T \times_f N_\perp$ , then we prove the following result for later use.

**Lemma: 3.4.** Let  $M = N_T \times_f N_\perp$  be a contact CR-warped product submanifold of a nearly Lorentzian para-Sasakian with a quarter symmetric semi metric connection M such that  $N_T$  and  $N_\perp$  are invariant and antiinvariant submanifolds of  $\overline{M}$ , respectively. Then, we have

- (i)  $\xi (\ln f) = 0$ ,
- (ii)  $g(h(X, Y), \phi Z) = 0.$

(iii) 
$$g(h(X,\omega),\phi Z) = g(h(X,Z),\phi\omega) = -\frac{1}{2}\{2\eta(X)g(Z,\omega) - (\phi X lnf)g(Z,\omega)\}$$

(iv) 
$$3g(h(\xi, Z), \phi\omega) = 2g(Z, \omega)$$
.

for every  $X, Y \in \Gamma(TN_T)$  and  $Z, \omega \in \Gamma(TN_{\perp})$ 

**Proof**: If  $\xi$  is tangent to  $N_T$ , for any  $Z \in \Gamma(TN_\perp)$ , we have  $(\overline{\nabla}_{\xi} \phi)Z + (\overline{\nabla}_Z \phi) = -Z$ . Then from (2.6), (2.11) and Lemma 2.1(ii), we obtain

$$2(\xi lnf)\phi Z + 2\phi h(Z,\xi) - \overline{\nabla}_{\xi}\phi Z = Z$$
(3.4)

Taking the inner product with  $\phi Z$  in (3.4) and using (2.2), we derive

$$2(\xi \ln f) \|Z\|^2 - g(\overline{\nabla}_{\xi} \phi Z, \phi Z) = 0$$
(3.5)

On the other hand, by the property of Riemannian connection, we have

$$\xi g(\phi Z, \phi Z) = 2g(\overline{\nabla}_{\xi} \phi Z, \phi Z).$$

By (2.2) and the property of Riemannian connection, we get

$$g(\overline{\nabla}_{\xi}Z,Z) = g(\overline{\nabla}_{\xi}\phi Z,\phi Z).$$

Using this fact in (3.5) and then from (2.11) and Lemma 2.1 (ii), we deduce that  $(\xi \ln f) ||Z||^2 = 0$ for any  $Z \in \Gamma(TN_{\perp})$ , which gives (i). For the other part of the Lemma, we have  $(\overline{\nabla}_X \phi)Z + (\overline{\nabla}_Z \phi)X = \eta(X)Z$ , for any  $X \in \Gamma(TN_T)$  and  $Z \in \Gamma(TN_{\perp})$ . Using to (2.6), (2.11) & (2.12), we derive

$$\eta(X)Z = -A_{\phi z}X + \nabla_X^{\perp}\phi Z - \eta(X)Z - \eta(X)\eta(Z)\xi - 2(X\ln f)\phi Z + (\phi X\ln f)Z + h(\phi X, Z) - 2\phi h(X, Z)$$
(3.6)

Thus, the second part can be obtained by taking the inner product in (3.6) with *Y*, for any  $Y \in \Gamma(TN_T)$ . Again taking the inner product in (3.6) with  $\omega$  for any  $\omega \in \Gamma(TN_L)$ , we get

$$2\eta(X)g(Z,\omega) = -g(h(X,\omega),\phi Z) + (\phi X \ln f)g(Z,\omega) - 2g(h(X,Z),\phi\omega)$$
(3.7)

By polarization identity, we get

$$2\eta(X)g(Z,\omega) = -g(h(X,Z),\phi\omega) + (\phi X \ln f)g(Z,\omega) - 2g(h(X,\omega),\phi Z)$$
(3.8)

Then from (3.7) and (3.8), we obtain

$$g(h(X,Z),\phi\omega) = g(h(X,\omega),\phi Z)$$
(3.9)

Which is the first equality of (iii). Using (3.9) or in (3.8), we get the second equality of (iii). Now, for the last part, replacing X by  $\xi$  in the third part of this lemma. This proves the lemma completely.

Now, we have the following characterization theorem.

**Theorem 3.5.** Let M be a contact CR-submanifold of a nearly Lorentzian para-Sasakian manifold with a quarter symmetric semi metric connection  $\overline{M}$  with integrable invariant and anti-invariant distribution  $D \oplus \langle \xi \rangle$  and  $D^{\perp}$ . Then M is locally a contact CR-warped product if any only if the shape operator of M satisfies

$$A_{\phi\omega}X = \frac{1}{3} \{ (\phi X\mu)\omega - 2\eta(X)\omega \} \forall X \in \Gamma(D \oplus \langle \xi \rangle), \qquad \omega \in \Gamma(D^{\perp})$$
(3.10)

For some smooth function  $\mu$  on M satisfying  $V(\mu) = 0$  for every  $V \in \Gamma(D^{\perp})$ .

**Proof:** Direct part follows from the Lemma 3.4 (iii). For the converse, suppose that M is contact CR-submanifold satisfying (3.10), then we have

$$g(h(X,Y),\phi\omega) = g(A_{\phi\omega}X,Y) = 0$$
, for any  $X,Y \in \Gamma(D \oplus \langle \xi \rangle$  and  $\omega \in \Gamma(D^{\perp})$ .

Using (2.2) and (2.11), we get

 $g(\overline{\nabla}_X Y, \phi \omega) = -g(\phi \overline{\nabla}_X Y, \omega) = 0$ . Then from (2.4), we obtain

$$g((\overline{\nabla}_X \phi)Y, \omega) = g(\overline{\nabla}_X \phi Y, \omega). \tag{3.11}$$

Similarly, we have

$$g((\overline{\nabla}_{Y}\phi)X,\omega) = g(\overline{\nabla}_{Y}\phi X,\omega). \tag{3.12}$$

Then from (3.11) and (3.12), we derive

$$g((\overline{\nabla}_X \phi)Y + (\overline{\nabla}_Y \phi)X, \omega) = g(\overline{\nabla}_X \phi Y + \overline{\nabla}_Y \phi X, \omega).$$

Using (2.3) and the fact that  $\xi$  is tangent to  $N_T$ , then by orthogonality of two distribution, we obtain

$$g(\overline{\nabla}_X \phi Y + \overline{\nabla}_Y \phi X, \omega) = 0$$

This means that  $\nabla_X \phi Y + \nabla_Y \phi X \in \Gamma(D \oplus \langle \xi \rangle)$ , for any  $X, Y \in \Gamma(DK \oplus \langle \xi \rangle)$ , that is  $D \oplus \langle \xi \rangle$  is integrable and its leaves are totally geodesic in *M*.So for as the anti-invariant distribution  $D^{\perp}$  is connected, it is integrable on *M* ([3]). Moreover, for any  $X \in \Gamma(D \oplus \xi)$  and  $Z, \omega \in \Gamma(D^{\perp})$ , we have

$$g(\nabla_{Z}\omega, X) = g(\overline{\nabla}_{Z}\omega, X) = g(\phi\overline{\nabla}_{Z}\omega, \phi X) - \eta(\overline{\nabla}_{Z}\omega)\eta(X)$$
$$= g(\phi\overline{\nabla}_{Z}\omega, \phi X) - g((\overline{\nabla}_{Z}\phi)\omega, \phi X) = -g(A_{\phi\omega}Z, \phi X) - g((\overline{\nabla}_{Z}\phi)\omega, \phi X)$$

The second term of the right hand side in the above equation vanishes in view (2.3) and the fact that  $\xi$  tangential to  $N_T$  and the first term will be

$$-g(A_{\phi\omega}Z,\phi X) = -g(h(Z,\phi X),\phi\omega) = -g(A_{\phi\omega}\phi X,Z)$$

Making use of (2.1),(3.7) and Lemma 3.4(i), the above equation takes the form

$$g(\nabla_{Z\omega}Z,X) = -g(A_{\phi\omega}Z,\phi X) = \frac{1}{3}X\mu g(\omega,Z)$$
(3.13)

Now by gauss formula

$$g(h^*(Z,\omega),X) = g(\nabla_{Z\omega}X).$$

Where  $h^*$  denotes the second fundamental form of the immersion of  $N_{\perp}$  into M. On using (3.13), the last equation gives

$$g(h^*(Z,\omega),X) = \frac{1}{3}X\mu g(\omega,Z)$$
 (3.14)

The above relation shows that the leaves of  $D^{\perp}$  are totally umbilical in M with mean curvature vector  $\nabla \mu$ . Moreover, the condition  $V\mu = 0$ , for any  $\nabla \epsilon \Gamma(D^{\perp})$  implies that the leaves of  $D^{\perp}$  are extrinsic spheres in M, that is the integral manifold  $N_{\perp}$  of  $D^{\perp}$  is ambillical and its mean curvature vector field is non- zero and parallel along  $N_{\perp}$ . Hence, by a result of [11] M is locally a warped product  $M = N_T \times_f N_{\perp}$ , where  $N_T$  and  $N_{\perp}$  denote integral manifolds of the distributions  $D \oplus \langle \xi \rangle$  and  $D^{\perp}$ , respectively and f is the warping function. Thus, the theorem is proved completely.

#### 4. INEQUALITY FOR CONTACT CR-WARPED PRODUCTS

In the following section, we obtained a general sharp inequality for the length of second fundamental form of warped product submanifold. We prove the following main result of this section.

**Theorem 4.1.** Let  $M = N_T \times_f N_{\perp}$  be a contact CR-warped product submanifold of a nearly Lorentzian para-Sasakian manifold with a quarter symmetric semi metric connection  $\overline{M}$  such that  $N_{\perp}$  is an invariant submanifold tangent to  $\xi$  and  $N_{\perp}$  an anti-invariant submanifold of  $\overline{M}$ . Then, we have

(i) The second fundamental form of *M* satisfies the inequality

 $||h||^2 \ge \frac{8}{9}s + 2s ||\nabla lnf||^2$ 

Where s is the dimension of  $N_{\perp}$  and  $\nabla \ln f$  is the gradient of  $\ln f$ .

(ii) If the equality sign of (4.1) hold identically, then  $N_T$  is the totally geodesic submanifold and  $N_{\perp}$  is a totally umbilical submanifold of  $\overline{M}$ . Moreover, M is a minimal submanifold in  $\overline{M}$ .

**Proof:** Let  $\overline{M}$  be a (2n + 1)-dimantional nearly Lorentzian para-Sasakian manifold with a quarter symmetric semi metric connection and  $M = N_T \times_f N_\perp$  be an m-dimentional contact CR-warped product submanifold of  $\overline{M}$ . Let us consider dim  $N_T = 2p + 1$  and  $dim N_\perp = s$ , then m = 2p + 1 + s. Let  $\{e_1, \ldots, e_p; \phi e_1 = e_{p+1}, \ldots, \phi e_p = e_{2p}, e_{2p+1} = \xi\}$  and  $\{e_{(2p+1)+1}, \ldots, e_m\}$  be the local orthogonal frames on  $N_T$  and  $N_\perp$  respectively. Then the orthogonal frames in the normal bundle  $T^\perp M$  of  $\phi D^\perp$  and  $v \{\phi e_{(2p+1)+1}, \ldots, \phi e_m\}$  and  $\{e_{m+s+1}, \ldots, e_{2n+1}\}$  respectively. Then the length of second fundamental form h is defined as

$$||h||^{2} = \sum_{r=m+1}^{2n+1} \sum_{i,j=1}^{m} g(h(e_{i}, e_{j}), e_{r})^{2}$$

For the assumed frames, the above equation can be written as

$$\|h\|^{2} = \sum_{r=m+1}^{m+s} \sum_{i,j=1}^{m} g(h(e_{i}, e_{j}), e_{r})^{2} + \sum_{r=m+s+1}^{2n+1} \sum_{i,j=1}^{m} g(h(e_{i}, e_{j}), e_{r})^{2}.$$
 (4.2)

The first term in the right hand side of the above equality is the  $\phi D^{\perp}$ -component and the term is vcomponent. If we have only the  $\phi D^{\perp}$ -component, then we have

$$||h||^2 \ge \sum_{r=m+1}^{m+s} \sum_{i,j=1}^m g(h(e_i, e_j), e_r)^2$$

For the given frame of  $\phi D^{\perp}$ , the above equation will be

$$||h||^2 \ge \sum_{k=(2p+1)+1}^m \sum_{i,j=1}^m g(h(e_{i,}e_j),\phi e_k)^2$$

Let us decompose the above equation in terms of the component of h(D, D),  $h(D, D^{\perp})$  and  $h(D^{\perp}, D^{\perp})$  then we have

$$\|h\|^{2} \geq \sum_{k=2p+2}^{m} \sum_{i,j=1}^{2p+1} g(h(e_{i}, e_{j}), \varphi e_{k})^{2}$$
$$+ 2 \sum_{k=2p+2}^{m} \sum_{i=1}^{2p+1} \sum_{j=2p+2}^{m} g(h(e_{i}, e_{j}), \phi e_{k})^{2}$$
$$+ \sum_{k=2p+2}^{m} \sum_{i,j=2p+2}^{m} g(h(e_{i}, e_{j}), \phi e_{k})^{2}.$$
(4.3)

By Lemma 3.4 (ii), the first term of the right hand side of (4.3) is identically zero and we shall compute the next term and will left the last term

$$||h||^2 \ge 2 \sum_{k=2p+2}^m \sum_{i=1}^{2p+1} \sum_{j=2p+2}^m g(h(e_i, e_j), \phi e_k)^2.$$

As j, k = 2p + 2, ..., m then the above equation can be written for one summation as

$$\geq 2 \sum_{i=1}^{2p+1} \sum_{j,k=2p+2}^{m} g(h(e_i, e_j), \phi e_k)^2.$$

Making use of Lemma 3.4 (iii), the above inequality will be

$$||h||^{2} \geq \frac{2}{9} \sum_{i=1}^{2p+1} \sum_{j,k=2p+2}^{m} (\phi e_{i} \ln f - 2\eta(e_{i}))^{2} g(e_{j},e_{k})^{2}$$

The above expression can be written as

$$\|h\|^{2} \geq \frac{2}{9} \sum_{i=1}^{2p+1} \sum_{j,k=2p+2}^{m} (\phi e_{i} \ln f)^{2} g(e_{j}, e_{k})^{2} + \frac{2}{9} \sum_{i=1}^{2p+1} \sum_{j,k=2p+2}^{m} 4(\eta(e_{i}))^{2} g(e_{j}, e_{k})^{2} - \frac{8}{9} \sum_{i=1}^{2p+1} \sum_{j,k=2p+2}^{m} (\phi e_{i} \ln f) \eta(e_{i}) g(e_{j}, e_{k})^{2}.$$

$$(4.4)$$

The last term of the (4.4) is identically zero for the given frames. Thus the above relation gives

$$\|h\|^{2} \ge \frac{2}{9} \sum_{i=1}^{2p+1} \sum_{j,k=2p+2}^{m} (\phi e_{i} \ln f)^{2} g(e_{j}, e_{k})^{2} + \frac{8}{9} s.$$
(4.5)

On the other hand, from (2.14), we have

$$\|\nabla lnf\|^2 = \sum_{i=1}^p (e_i \ln f)^2 + \sum_{i=1}^p (\phi e_i \ln f)^2 + (\xi lnf)^2.$$
(4.6)

Now the equation (4.5) can be modified as

$$\begin{split} \|h\|^{2} &\geq 2 \sum_{i=1}^{2p+1} \sum_{j,k=2p+2}^{m} (\phi e_{i} lnf)^{2} g(e_{j},e_{k})^{2} + \frac{8}{9} s \\ &+ 2 \sum_{j,k=2p+1}^{m} (\xi lnf)^{2} g(e_{j},e_{k})^{2} - 2 \sum_{j,k=2p+2}^{m} (\xi lnf)^{2} g(e_{j},e_{k})^{2} \\ \|h\|^{2} &\geq \frac{8}{9} s - 2 \sum_{j,k=2p+2}^{m} (\xi \ln f)^{2} g(e_{j},e_{k})^{2} + 2 \sum_{j,k=2p+2}^{m} (\xi lnf)^{2} g(e_{j},e_{k})^{2} \\ &+ 4 \sum_{i=1}^{p} \sum_{j,k=2p+2}^{m} (\phi e_{i} lnf)^{2} g(e_{j},e_{k})^{2} (since \ \phi \xi lnf = 0) \end{split}$$

Therefore, using Lemma 3.4 (i) and (4.6), we arrive at

$$||h||^2 \ge \frac{8}{9}s + 2s||\nabla \ln f||^2$$

This is the inequality (4.1). Let  $h^*$  be the second fundamental form of  $N_{\perp}$  in M, then from (3.14), we have

$$h^*(Z,\omega) = g(Z,\omega)\nabla \ln f \tag{4.7}$$

For any  $Z, W \in \Gamma(D^{\perp})$ . Now assume that the equality case of (4.1) holds identically. Then from (4.2), (4.3) and (4.4), we obtain

#### Aboo Horaira et al.,

$$h(D,D) = 0, \ h(D^{\perp}, D^{\perp}) = 0, \ h(D, D^{\perp}) \subset \phi D^{\perp}.$$
 (4.8)

Since  $N_T$  is a totally geodesic submanifold in M (by Lemma 2.1 (i), using this fact with the first condition in (4.8) implies that  $N_T$  is totally geodesic in  $\overline{M}$ . On the other hand, by direct calculation same as in the proof of Theorem 3.5, we deduce that  $N_{\perp}$  is totally umbilical in M. Therefore, the second condition of (4.8) with (4.7) implies that  $N_{\perp}$  is totally umbilical in  $\overline{M}$ . Moreover, all three conditions of (4.8) imply that M is minimal submanifold of  $\overline{M}$ . This completes the proof of the theorem.

#### REFERENCES

- Agrawal, N. K., Rahman, S., Characterization of canonical semi symmetric semi-metric connection on transversal hypersurfaces of Lorentzian para Sasakian manifolds, International Journal of Emerging Technology and Advanced Engineering, 6(10) (2016), 153–159.
- [2]. Ahmad, A., Rahman, S., A note on transversal hypersurfaces of Lorentzian para-Sasakian manifolds with a semi-symmetric non-metric connection, Journal of Tensor Society, 8(2014), 53–63.
- [3]. Alegre, P., CR-submanifolds of Lorentzian manifolds, Int. Elect. J. Geom. 2(2009), 95–103.
- [4]. Arslan, K., Ezentas, R., Mihai, I., Murathan, C., *Contact CR-warped product submanifolds in Kenmotsu space forms*, J. Korean Math. Soc., 42(2005), 1101–1110.
- [5]. Bishop, R. L., O'Neill, B., Manifolds of negative curvature, Trans. Amer. Math. Soc., 145(1969), 1–49.
- [6]. Bonanzinga, V., Matsumoto, K., *Warped product CR-submanifolds in locally conformal Kaehler manifolds*, Periodica Math. Hungar., 48(2004), 207–221.
- [7]. Chen, B. Y., *Geometry of warped product CR-submanifolds in Kaehler manifolds* I, Monatsh. Math., 133(2001), 177–195.
- [8]. Chen, B. Y., *Geometry of warped product CR-submanifolds in Kaehler manifolds II*, Monatsh. Math., 134(2001), 103–119.
- [9]. De, U.C., Matsumoto, K., Sheikh, A. A., *On Lorentzian para-Sasakian manifolds*, Rendicontidel Seminario Matematico di Messina, Series II, Supplemento al n. 3(1999), 149–158.
- [10]. Hasegawa, I., Mihai, I., Contact CR-warped product submanifolds in Sasakian manifolds, Geom. Dedicata, 102(2003), 143–150.
- [11]. Hiepko, S., Eine inner kennzeichungderverzerrtenprodukte, Math. Ann., 241(1979), 209–215.
- [12]. Matsumoto, K., On Lorentzian para contact manifolds, Bull. of Yamagata Univ., Nat. Sci., 12(1989), 151–156.
- [13]. Mihai, I., Contact CR-warped product submanifolds in Sasakian space forms, Geom.Dedicata, 109(2004), 165–173.
- [14]. Mihai I., Rosca, R., On Lorentzian P-Sasakian Manifolds, Classical Analysis, World Scientific Publ., 1992, 155–169.
- [15]. Rahman, S. Transversal hypersurfaces of a Lorentzian para-Sasakian manifold with a semisymmetric metric connection, Journal of Qafqaz University- Mathematics and Computer Science, 1(2)(2013) 137–144.

- [16]. Rahman, S., Characterization of quarter symmetric non-metric connection on transversal hypersurfaces of Lorentzian para-Sasakian manifolds, Journal of Tensor Society, 8(2014), 65–75.
- [17]. Rahman, S., Contact CR-warped product submanifolds of nearly Lorentzian para-Sasakian manifold, Turkish Journal of Mathematics & Computer Science, 7 (2017), 40-47.
- [18]. Rahman, S., Characterization of quarter symmetric semi metric connection on transversal hypersurfaces of Lorentzian para Sasakian manifolds, Journal of Qafqaz University-Mathematics and Computer Science 3(1)(2015), 09–17.
- [19]. Rahman, S., Jun, J. B., Ahmad, A., *On semi-invariant submanifolds of a nearly Lorentzian para-Sasakian manifold*, Far East Journal of Mathematical Sciences, 96(6)(2015), 709–724.
- [20]. Sahin B., Non-existence of warped product semi slant submanifold of Kaehler manifolds, Geom. *Deticata.* 117(2006), 195 – 202.